(FRI) JUN 30 2006 8:53/ST. 8:52/No. 6833031344 P 9

FROM ROGITZ 619 338 8078

CASE NO.: HSJ920030169US1

Serial No.: 10/629,675

June 30, 2006

Page 9

PATENT

Filed: July 29, 2003

<u>Remarks</u>

Reconsideration of the above-captioned application is respectfully requested. The subject matter of

allowable Claim 24 has been moved into independent Claim 21, and all other independent claims have been

allowed except for Claim 1, leaving only it and its dependent claims at issue.

Claims 1 and 5-8 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Belsan et al.,

USPN 5,632,012 in view of Pfeffer et al., USPN 5,210,860, and dependent Claim 2 has been rejected under

35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Belsan et al. in view of Pfeffer et al. and Lester et al., USPN

6,715,116.

Applicant respectfully asserts that Claim 1 in it current form is patentable. The examiner has equated

a user changing a scrub timer (as taught in the secondary reference) with the claimed "scrub delay period that

depends on a number of user requests received from a RAID controller" because, per the examiner, the user

changing the timer is "a" request and "a" equals "one", which is a "number". However, this argument fails

in at least two respects: (1) the relied-upon "request" is not from a RAID controller as claimed, and (2)

changing the timer in Pfeffer et al. depends on the existence of a request, not on its inherent "number" of

unity. In contrast, Claim 1 explicitly requires changing a timer based on a parameter - a number - that is not

implicated in Pfeffer et al., regardless of whether the non-numeric element that is actually used in Pfeffer et

al, might inherently implicate the number of unity by its mere existence. In essence, the examiner's position

erases an element from Claim 1 and replaces it with a different element from Pfeffer et al.

The fact that Applicant has focussed its comments distinguishing the present claims from the applied

references and countering certain rejections must not be construed as acquiescence in other portions of

rejections not specifically addressed.

1189-12.AMI

PAGE 9/10 * RCVD AT 6/30/2006 11:54:52 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:16193388078 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-14

CASE NO.: HSJ920030169US1 Serial No.: 10/629,675 June 30, 2006 Page 10 PATENT Filed: July 29, 2003

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz

Registration No. 33,549

Attorney of Record

750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1189-12.AMI